

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Sixth cranial nerve palsy in giant cell arteritis: A systematic review

Haruki Sawada¹, Yoshito Nishimura^{1,2}, Hiromichi Tamaki³

¹Department of Medicine, John A. Burns School of Medicine, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, HI, USA ²Department of General Medicine, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, Japan ³Immuno Rheumatology Center St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence: Yoshito Nishimura, MD. **E-mail:** yoshiton@hawaii.edu

Received: October 12, 2023 Accepted: December 28, 2023 Published online: August 26, 2024

Citation: Sawada H, Nishimura Y, Tamaki H. Sixth cranial nerve palsy in giant cell arteritis: A systematic review. Arch Rheumatol 2024;39(3):479-487. doi: 10.46497/ ArchRheumatol.2024.10528.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes (http://creativecommons.org/ Licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aimed to review and describe isolated sixth cranial nerve or abducens nerve palsy that may present with subtle ophthalmoplegia in patients with giant cell arteritis (GCA).

Materials and methods: In this systematic review following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) Extension for Scoping Reviews, MEDLINE and EMBASE were searched for all peer-reviewed articles using the keywords "cranial nerve six," "abducens nerve," and "giant cell arteritis" from their inception to December 22, 2022.

Results: Twenty-five articles, including seven observational studies and 18 cases, were included. While the incidence and prevalence of sixth nerve palsy in GCA were variable, up to 48% of diplopia in GCA were attributed to the sixth cranial nerve palsy, according to the observational studies included. While 88.2% had a resolution of symptoms with 40-50 mg/day of prednisone-equivalent corticosteroids, it took a median of 24.5 days until the resolution of symptoms from the initiation of treatment.

Conclusion: This review summarizes the current understanding of the characteristics of sixth nerve palsy in GCA. While most patients may have reversible clinical courses, a few can suffer from persistent ophthalmoplegia, which is a potentially missed yet crucial clinical finding in GCA. Increased awareness of the sixth nerve palsy in GCA is crucial.

Keywords: Abducens nerve, giant cell arteritis, six nerve palsy, systematic review.

Giant cell arteritis (GCA) is a systemic inflammatory vasculitis typically affecting the aorta and its main branches, commonly encountered in adults over 50 years old.¹ GCA presents with constitutional symptoms and symptoms related to the affected artery, such as jaw claudication or headache. One of the most feared ophthalmologic complications in GCA is vision loss due to arterial inflammation of the posterior ciliary arteries.²⁻⁴

Although rare, GCA also causes oculomotor abnormality presenting as diplopia, with a previous report of about 3-8% among GCA and about 8-20% among GCA with ophthalmic symptoms.^{3,5} GCA could affect oculomotor nerves, including the third, fourth, and sixth cranial nerves. Generally, the sixth cranial nerve palsy was reported to be the most common nerve paralysis among ocular motor nerves in isolation.⁶⁻⁸ However, some sixth cranial nerve palsy cases in GCA were likely underdiagnosed given the lack of understanding about illness scripts and initial presentations, rendering a challenge for correct diagnosis.

At this point, it is unclear if the sixth cranial nerve palsy in GCA patients could be a temporary, reversible, or irreversible finding. Given the potential need for prompt diagnosis and treatment to address the overlooked symptom, clinical pictures of the sixth cranial nerve palsy in GCA need to be well-defined. In this study, a systematic review of existing literature related to the sixth cranial nerve palsy in GCA was performed to clarify detailed clinical presentations and characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This systematic scoping review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting

commentaries.

Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) extension for scoping reviews.^{9,10} MEDLINE and EMBASE were searched for all peer-reviewed articles from inception to December 22, 2022. No filters for study design and language were used. A manual screening for additional pertinent articles was done using the reference lists of all articles that met the eligibility criteria. The search strategy involved relevant keywords, including "cranial nerve six," "abducens nerve," and "giant cell arteritis." The search was conducted by two authors independently. See Appendix 1 for detailed search terms. The criteria for the inclusion of articles were as follows: (i) peer-reviewed articles describing cases of GCA with cranial six nerve palsy; (ii) randomized controlled trials, case-control studies, cohort studies (prospective or retrospective), cross-sectional studies, case series, case reports, and conference abstracts; (iii) adult patients. The exclusion criteria were qualitative studies, review articles, and

Study selection

Articles selected for full-text assessment were assessed independently by two authors using EndNote 20 reference management software (Clarivate, Philadelphia, PA, United States). Articles considered eligible were then evaluated in full length with the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Data extraction and definition

A standardized data collection form that followed the PRISMA and Cochrane Collaboration guidelines for systematic reviews was used to obtain the following information from each study: title, name of authors, year of publication, country of origin, study characteristics, target outcome, aims, study and comparative groups, key findings, and limitations. Data from existing case reports and case series were also analyzed to identify the clinical characteristics of the included cases.



Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart of the search strategy.

	Limitations	Mainly focused on diplopia rather than six nerve palsy No outcome data about six nerve palsy Conference abstract	Data was mainly focused on stroke symptoms Conference abstract	Lacking detailed clinical data for six nerve palsy	Lacking detailed clinical data for six nerve palsy	Date was extracted national database Lacking detailed clinical data for six nerve palsy
	Key findings	80/111 (72%) had visual signs, including 30/111 (27%) with binocular diplopia was attributed to cranial nerve palsy in 21/24 (88%, especially third (50%) and sixth cranial nerve (48%) palsies	37/123 (30%) suffered from ischemic vents of internal carotid artery, 12/123 (10%) presented with neurological symptoms different from AION. Out of 12 patients with non-ocular ischemic symptoms of central nervous system, 2/12 (17%) of sixth nerve paresis	In GCA without systemic symptoms, 5/6 (83%) presented with AION, 1/6 (17%) with isolated cranial nerve six palsy In GCA with systemic symptoms, 17/36 (47%) presented with AION, 1/36 (3%) with iso lated cranial nerve six palsy	Visual symptoms were present in 135/388 (35%) 8 had oculomotor nerve palsies (1 bilateral 3", 1 bilateral 6 th , and 6 unilateral 3 rd or 6 th nerve palsy)	The most common noted cranial nerve palsy was of the sixth nerve (0.5%)
	Comparative groups	None	None	Temporal artery biopsy positive GCA with systemic symptoms (n=36)	None	None
included observational studies in the scoping reviews	Population	GCA (n=111)	GCA (n=123)	Temporal artery biopsy positive GCA without systemic symptoms (n=6)	GCA (n=388)	GCA (n=5337)
	Outcome	Characteristics and prognosis of binocular diplopia	Clinical and laboratory findings	Clinical and laboratory findings, and ocular manifestations	Clinical findings and ocular manifestations	Clinical findings
	Aim	To better characterize diplopia in newly diagnosed GCA patients	To check the frequency of stroke as presentation symptom of GCA and other findings related with it	To compare characteristics of patients with and without systemic GCA with ocular manifestations	To obtain information regarding the burden of vision loss in GCA	To evaluate epidemiologic characteristics of giant cell arteritis
eristics of the i	Study type	Observational	Observational	Observational	Observational	Observational
Table 1. Main charactu	Author, year, country	Chazal et al. ¹⁴ 2022, France	Coronel Tarancón et al. ¹² 2018, Spain	Issa et al. ⁵ 2022, Canada	Laskou et al. ¹³ 2018, USA	Nayak et al. ¹¹ 2016

Table 1. Continued								
Author, year, country	Study type	Aim	Outcome	Population	Comparative groups	Key findings	Limitations	
Haering et al.³ 2014, Switzerland	Observational	To compare patients with and without diplopia in CGA	Clinical and laboratory findings	GCA with diplopia (n=9)	GCA without diplopia (n=28)	Prospectively analyzed Abduction deficit was confirmed 5/9 patients by ophthalmologic evaluation. 1/9 patient history was consistent with abduction deficit	Single center study	
						Visual impairment and loss were diagnosed 4/9 (44%) in patients with diplopia, and in 7/28 (25%) in diplopia		
AION: Anterior ischemic optic	: neuropathy; GCA	: Fiant cell arteritis.						

Statistical analysis

Results are shown as median with interquartile ranges (IQR) of the data if applicable. All analyses were performed using JMP 15.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, United States).

RESULTS

Search results and study selection

Figure 1 demonstrates a PRISMA flow diagram summarizing the identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion and exclusion processes of the studies involved. The initial MEDLINE and EMBASE databases review yielded 16 and 91 articles, respectively. Ten duplicate studies were removed. Ninety-seven articles were screened based on their relevance and article type. Sixtysix articles that were either review articles, editorials, or studies that focused on matters irrelevant to the research question were excluded from the study. Thirty-one articles were then evaluated for full-text review for study inclusion per our eligibility criteria. Review articles or papers describing different topics were excluded. Two articles were added to the reference list search. As a result, 24 articles, including six observational studies and 18 cases from case reports and series, were included in the review. See Appendix 2 for the list of the included case reports and series.

Description of included studies

Table 1 describes the main characteristics of the seven observational studies from the scoping review. Except for studies by Haering et al.³ and Issa et al.,⁵ they were investigational studies without comparative groups.¹¹⁻¹⁴

Chazal et al.¹⁴ performed an observational study including 111 GCA patients to characterize diplopia and ocular symptoms in the population. Interestingly, among those who had diplopia, 48% were attributed to the sixth cranial nerve palsy. The results were limited as it was a conference abstract. Similarly, Coronel Tarancón et al.¹² focused on the neurological symptoms of 123 GCA patients but noted that only two out of 123 had sixth cranial nerve palsy. Issa et al.,⁵ Laskou et al.,¹³ Nayak et al.,¹¹ and Haering et al.³ also reported a low incidence of the sixth cranial nerve palsy in GCA. Haering et al.³ included

Table 2. Baseline demographics, laboratory findings, and chief features of the included cases							
	n	%	Median	IQR			
Age (year)			75.0	70.5-79.3			
Sex Male Female	10/18 8/18	55.6 44.4					
Symptoms Headache Diplopia Vision change or loss Fever Jaw claudication	12/18 14/16 6/18 4/18 8/18	72.2 87.5 33.3 22.2 44.4					
Abducens nerve laterality Unilateral Bilateral	12/18 6/18	66.7 33.3					
Duration of CN6 palsy before admission (days)	16/18	88.9	5.5	1.0-19.3			
Duration until onset of CN6 palsy after onset of initial symptoms (days)	16/18	88.9	16.0	8.8-77.0			
Concurrent PMR	0/18	0					
Concurrent diabetes	2/18	11.1					
Known autoimmune disease	0/16	0					
Biopsy-proven diagnosis	17/18	94.4					
Treatment Pulse-dose corticosteroid Prednisone-equivalent 60-80 mg/day Prednisone-equivalent 40-50 mg/day Dose unspecified corticosteroid	4/15 4/15 5/15 2/15	26.7 26.7 33.3 13.3					
Resolution of CN6 palsy after treatment	15/17	88.2					
Duration from initiation of treatment until resolution of CN6 palsy (days)	14/18	77.8	24.5	6.00-56.0			
Laboratory findings* Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h) C-reactive protein (mg/L)	14/18 11/18	77.8 61.1	59.5 19.0	43.8-84.5 12.0-58.0			

IQR: Interquartile range; CN: Cranial nerve; PMR: Polymyalgia rheumatica; * Prevalence here is defined as the number of cases reported the variab le divided by the number of the total cases.

those with GCA with or without diplopia. While they only included nine patients with diplopia, 55.6% with GCA and diplopia had abduction deficit by ophthalmologic evaluation, which was more common than vision loss (44.4%).

Table 2 presents the baseline demographics, diagnostic findings, and chief clinical features from the individual cases (n=18).^{4,15-31} The median age of the included cases was 75.0 (interquartile range [IQR], 70.5-79.3) years. Male patients constituted 55.6% of the sample. Headache and diplopia were the most common symptoms, followed by jaw claudication, vision loss, and fever. Of the patients, 33.3% had bilateral sixth cranial nerve palsies. While the duration of the sixth cranial nerve palsy before admission and

after the onset of initial symptoms was variable, initial symptoms preceded the sixth cranial nerve palsy for a median of 16.0 (IQR, 8.8-77.0) days. A biopsy-proven diagnosis was present in 88.9%. Most patients received more than 40-50 mg/day of prednisone-equivalent corticosteroids, and 88.2% had a resolution of sixth cranial nerve palsy. Interestingly, it took a median of 24.5 (IQR, 6.0-56.0) days until the resolution of symptoms from the initiation of treatment.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we thoroughly reviewed the literature and evidence regarding the sixth cranial nerve palsy in GCA. This is the first study to clarify detailed clinical presentations and time course of the critical and potentially reversible symptoms. In particular, the result that patients usually require more than three weeks until resolution of symptoms from initiation of treatment may give internists, neurologists, and rheumatologists an idea of discharge planning and how to educate patients regarding conditions and follow-up.

Currently, evidence regarding the incidence and prevalence of sixth nerve palsy has been variable. However, given the results of the reviews, it may be more common than expected in those with diplopia, which mandates clinicians' close attention to ophthalmologic exams on subtle changes and ophthalmoplegia in addition to screening for vision loss. At the same time, differential diagnosis of the sixth nerve palsy is broad, including ischemic stroke, intracranial tumors, and demyelinating diseases, such as multiple sclerosis.^{1,3,32-39} Extensive workup to exclude the above is crucial, as the sixth cranial nerve palsy due to GCA is a diagnosis of exclusion. Additionally, raising awareness of GCA as a differential diagnosis in patients with the sixth cranial nerve palsy symptoms among clinicians is crucial.

Regarding clinical characteristics, our results showed that patients with sixth nerve palsy in GCA were more likely to be male (55.4%). None of them had a concurrent diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica, and there was a very high biopsyproven diagnosis rate of 94.4%. Additionally, one-third of the patients had bilateral sixth nerve palsy. This information might be useful for physicians who need to be more observant of sixth nerve palsy symptoms.

Regarding the response to the treatment, the present results were reassuring as close to 90% showed recovery of the sixth nerve palsy with treatment based on corticosteroids. However, it is essential to note that recovery took approximately three to four weeks, or even up to two months in some cases. While further accumulation of prospective data may be needed, patients with GCA solely with abducens nerve palsy without other signs of clinical flare could be transitioned to close outpatient followup with rheumatologists and ophthalmologists. Given that approximately 10% of the patients had persistent abducens nerve palsy, future studies are warranted to recognize who is at risk of prolonged or permanent sixth nerve palsy based on baseline demographics or clinical characteristics. In these cases, treatments such as pulse dose glucocorticoids or anti-interleukin-6 monoclonal antibodies could be an option pending further accumulation of evidence, although it remains uncertain if the sixth cranial nerve palsy in GCA is a prodromal symptom of vision loss, given its association with the cranial and pericranial ischemic. Further investigation to see the association with prospective studies is necessary.

There are several limitations to the study. First, authors could not be contacted to obtain data not mentioned in the literature. We specifically included not only peer-reviewed articles but also conference abstracts or preprints, leading to uncertainty in the evidence level discussed. However, the risk of reporting bias was reduced as a result. Second, there is a limited number of prospective studies, and the study included a small number of patients. Furthermore, for statistical case analysis, only data from well-documented existing case reports and case series were included to identify the clinical characteristics of the included cases with the level of detail required for the in-depth investigation. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to investigate the detailed characteristics of sixth cranial nerve palsy in GCA. The data presented may be beneficial for physicians to use for determining diagnostic or treatment plans for such cases.

In conclusion, this review summarizes the current evidence and characteristics of the sixth nerve palsy in GCA. While most patients may have transient and reversible clinical courses, ophthalmoplegia is a potentially missed yet crucial clinical finding in those with GCA. Given many differential diagnoses for the sixth nerve palsy that potentially complicate the clinical scripts, increased awareness of the sixth nerve palsy in GCA and its differential diagnosis is crucial. Since a small portion of patients suffer from persistent or permanent abducens nerve palsy, future studies are warranted to identify factors associated with nonreversibility and the benefits of early and high-intensity treatment, such as pulse dose glucocorticoids or anti-interleukin-6 monoclonal antibodies, in the population.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics Committee Approval: Since this is a systematic review, no ethics committee approval was required.

Data Sharing Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions: Searched the literature, assessed the quality of the studies, drafted, and revised the manuscript: H.S., Y.N.; Both supervised the process: Y.N., H.T.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

REFERENCES

- Soriano A, Muratore F, Pipitone N, Boiardi L, Cimino L, Salvarani C. Visual loss and other cranial ischaemic complications in giant cell arteritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2017;13:476-84. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2017.98.
- Gonzalez-Gay MA, Castañeda S, Llorca J. Giant cell arteritis: Visual loss is our major concern. J Rheumatol 2016;43:1458-61. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.160466.
- Haering M, Holbro A, Todorova MG, Aschwanden M, Kesten F, Berger CT, et al. Incidence and prognostic implications of diplopia in patients with giant cell arteritis. J Rheumatol 2014;41:1562-4. doi: 10.3899/ jrheum.130983.
- Jalaledin DS, Ross C, Makhzoum JP. Rare ischemic complications of giant cell arteritis: Case series and literature review. Am J Case Rep 2022;23:e937565. doi: 10.12659/AJCR.937565.
- Issa M, Donaldson L, Jeeva-Patel T, Margolin E. Ischemic ocular manifestations of giant cell arteritis: A Canadian case series. J Neurol Sci 2022;436:120222. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2022.120222.
- Rucker CW. The causes of paralysis of the third, fourth and sixth cranial nerves. Am J Ophthalmol 1966;61:1293-8. doi: 10.1016/0002-9394(66)90258-3.
- Rush JA, Younge BR. Paralysis of cranial nerves III, IV, and VI. Cause and prognosis in 1,000 cases. Arch Ophthalmol 1981;99:76-9. doi: 10.1001/ archopht.1981.03930010078006.
- Park UC, Kim SJ, Hwang JM, Yu YS. Clinical features and natural history of acquired third, fourth, and sixth cranial nerve palsy. Eye (Lond) 2008;22:691-6. doi: 10.1038/sj.eye.6702720.

- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med 2018;169:467-73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.
- McGowan J, Straus S, Moher D, Langlois EV, O'Brien KK, Horsley T, et al. Reporting scoping reviews-PRISMA ScR extension. J Clin Epidemiol 2020;123:177-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.03.016.
- Nayak NV, Raikundalia M, Eloy JA. Epidemiologic characteristics of giant cell arteritis using a national inpatient database. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2016;57:5082.
- Coronel Tarancón L, Monjo I, Fernández E, Balsa A, De Miguel E. Non ophtalmological neurologic ischaemic manifestations of giant cell arteritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2018;77:1483. doi:10.1136/ annrheumdis-2018-eular.5775. [Abstract]
- Laskou F, Aung TH, Gayford D, Banerjee S, Crowson C, Matteson E, et al. FRI0494 Spectrum of visual involvement in giant cell arteritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2018;77:774-5. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018eular.3081.
- 14. Chazal T, Clavel G, Leturcq T, Philibert M, Lecler A, Vignal-Clermont C. Characteristics and prognosis of binocular diplopia in patients with giant cell arteritis. J Neuroophthalmol 2023. doi: 10.1097/WNO.000000000001912.
- 15. Arai M, Katsumata R. Temporal arteritis presenting with headache and abducens nerve palsy. Report of a case. Rinsho Shinkeigaku 2007;47:444-6.
- Arunagiri G, Santhi S, Harrington T. Horner syndrome and ipsilateral abduction deficit attributed to giant cell arteritis. J Neuroophthalmol 2006;26:231-2. doi: 10.1097/01.wno.0000235562.42894.33.
- Bruera OC, Ferreiro JL, Leston JA, Giglio JA, Bonamico LH, Pavón H, et al. Bilateral abducens palsy as an uncommon manifestation of temporal arteritis. Headache Quarterly 2001;12:253-255.
- Calcagni A, Claes CA, Maheshwari M, Jacks AS. Hypotony as a presentation of giant cell arteritis. Eye 2007;21:123-4.
- Codeiro A, Barata Silvério T, Martins Silva E, Grunho M. Abducens neuropathy in giant cell arteritis: You find what you seek! European Journal of Neurology. 2021;28(Suppl 1):459. doi:10.1111/ene.14975. [Abstract]
- Freitag FG, Diamond S, Solomon GD. Reversible multiple cranial nerve palsies in temporal (giant cell) arteritis with normal sedimentation rate: Report of a case. J Am Osteopath Assoc 1988;88:381-3.
- Fytili C, Bournia VK, Korkou C, Pentazos G, Kokkinos A. Multiple cranial nerve palsies in giant cell arteritis and response to cyclophosphamide: A case report and review of the literature. Rheumatol Int 2015;35:773-6. doi: 10.1007/s00296-014-3126-8.
- 22. Ishikawa, H, Yoshihara, M, Matsunaga, H, Tsuji, N, Hoshi, M, Kashima, Y. Ogiwara, H. Anterior ischemic optic neuropathy following ocular muscle paresis

in a case of temporal arteritis. Jpn J Clin Ophthalmol 1999;53:291-294.

- Jay WM, Nazarian SM. Bilateral sixth nerve pareses with temporal arteritis and diabetes. J Clin Neuroophthalmol 1986;6:91-5.
- Killer HE, Holtz DJ, Kaiser HJ, Laeng RH. Diplopia, ptosis, and hepatitis as presenting signs and symptoms of giant cell arteritis. Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:1319-20. doi: 10.1136/bjo.84.11.1318b.
- Lodhi A, Hawkes C, Quinn R, Larche M. Ophthalmoplegia - An unusual presentation of GCA. J Rheumatol 2016;43:1241. doi: 10.3899/ jrheum.160272. [Abstract]
- Lotery A, Best J, Houston S. Occult giant cell (temporal) arteritis presenting with bilateral sixth and unilateral fourth nerve palsies. Eye (Lond) 1998;12:1014-6. doi: 10.1038/eye.1998.260.
- Lunagariya A, Rupareliya C, Bollu PC, Mahuwala Z. Temporal arteritis presenting as an isolated bilateral abducens nerve palsy: A rare case of a 65-yearold male. Cureus 2018;10:e2667. doi: 10.7759/ cureus.2667.
- Mann H, Desai T. A rare case of giant cell arteritis presenting with transient polycranial neuropathies. Neurology 2022;98(18 Suppl):P6. doi: 10.1212/ WNL.98.18_supplement.625. [Abstract]
- Margolin E, Jeeva-Patel T. Sixth nerve palsy and myocarditis as the only presenting manifestation of giant cell arteritis. J Neuroophthalmol 2021;41:e335-6. doi: 10.1097/WNO.000000000001054.
- Onac I, Hajela V. 33. A case of ocular ischaemic syndrome caused by severe giant cell arteritis. Rheumatol Adv Pract 2019;3(Suppl 1):rkz028.002. doi: 10.1093/rap/rkz028.002.
- 31. Willett K, Sreih A, Rhee R, Lee V, Kwong B, Tamhankar MA. Giant cell arteritis associated with multiple cranial

neuropathies. J Clin Rheumatol 2021;27:S769-70. doi: 10.1097/RHU.000000000001497.

- 32. Buncic JR. Neuroophthalmic signs of vascular disease. Int Ophthalmol Clin 1978;18:123-49.
- Cordonnier M, Van Nechel C. Neuro-ophthalmological emergencies: Which ocular signs or symptoms for which diseases? Acta Neurol Belg 2013;113:215-24. doi: 10.1007/s13760-013-0188-4.
- 34. Dinkin M. Diagnostic approach to diplopia. Continuum (Minneap Minn) 2014;20:942-65. doi: 10.1212/01. CON.0000453310.52390.58.
- Jalota L, Freed R, Jain S. Isolated 6th nerve palsyan uncommon presentation of lyme's disease. J Gen Intern Med 2012;27:S447-S448. [Abstract]
- Kung NH, Van Stavern GP. Isolated ocular motor nerve palsies. Semin Neurol 2015;35:539-48. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1563568.
- 37. Lončarić K, Markeljević J, Gregurić T, Pažanin L, Grgić MV, Lovrenčić-Huzjan A, et al. Case of abducens palsy-clival pancreatic cancer metastasis extending into sphenoid and cavernous sinuses masquerading as giant cell arteritis. J Neuroophthalmol 2022. doi: 10.1097/WNO.000000000001639.
- Ross AG, Jivraj I, Rodriguez G, Pistilli M, Chen JJ, Sergott RC, et al. Retrospective, multicenter comparison of the clinical presentation of patients presenting with diplopia from giant cell arteritis vs other causes. J Neuroophthalmol 2019;39:8-13. doi: 10.1097/WNO.00000000000656.
- 39. Tamhankar MA, Biousse V, Ying GS, Prasad S, Subramanian PS, Lee MS, et al. Isolated third, fourth, and sixth cranial nerve palsies from presumed microvascular versus other causes: A prospective study. Ophthalmology 2013;120:2264-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.04.009.

Appendix 1. Detailed search terms

Medline

("giant cell arteritis"[MeSH Terms] OR "giant cell arteritis"[All Fields] OR "temporal arteritis"[All Fields]) AND ("cranial nerve six"[All Fields] OR "cranial nerve 6"[All Fields] OR "sixth nerve"[All Fields] OR "abducens nerve"[All Fields])

EMBASE

('giant cell arteritis'/exp OR giant cell arteritis OR 'temporal arteritis'/exp OR temporal arteritis) AND ('cranial nerve six'/exp OR cranial nerve six OR 'sixth nerve'/exp OR sixth nerve OR 'abducens nerve'/exp OR abducens nerve)

Appendix 2. Included articles

- 1. Jay WM, Nazarian SM. Bilateral sixth nerve pareses with temporal arteritis and diabetes. J Clin Neuroophthalmol 1986;6:91-5.
- 2. Freitag FG, Diamond S, Solomon GD. Reversible multiple cranial nerve palsies in temporal (giant cell) arteritis with normal sedimentation rate: report of a case. J Am Osteopath Assoc 1988;88:381-3.
- 3. Lotery A, Best J, Houston S. Occult giant cell (temporal) arteritis presenting with bilateral sixth and unilateral fourth nerve palsies. Eye (Lond) 1998;12:1014-6. doi: 10.1038/eye.1998.260.
- 4. Ishikawa H, Yoshihara M, Matsunaga H, Tsuji N, Hoshi M, Kashima Y, et al. Anterior ischemic optic neuropathy following ocular muscle paresis in a case of temporal arteritis. Jpn J Clin Ophthalmol 1999;53:291-4.
- 5. Killer HE, Holtz DJ, Kaiser HJ, Laeng RH. Diplopia, ptosis, and hepatitis as presenting signs and symptoms of giant cell arteritis. Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:1319-20. doi: 10.1136/bjo.84.11.1318b.
- 6. Bruera OC, Ferreiro JL, Leston JA, Giglio JA, Bonamico LH, Pavón H, et al. Bilateral abducens palsy as an uncommon manifestation of temporal arteritis. Headache Quarterly 2001;12:253-5.
- 7. Arunagiri G, Santhi S, Harrington T. Horner syndrome and ipsilateral abduction deficit attributed to giant cell arteritis. J Neuroophthalmol 2006;26:231-2.
- 8. Arai M, Katsumata R. Temporal arteritis presenting with headache and abducens nerve palsy. Report of a case. Rinsho Shinkeigaku 2007;47:444-6.
- 9. Calcagni A, Claes CA, Maheshwari M, Jacks AS. Hypotony as a presentation of giant cell arteritis. Eye 2007;21:123-4.
- 10. Fytili C, Bournia VK, Korkou C, Pentazos G, Kokkinos A. Multiple cranial nerve palsies in giant cell arteritis and response to cyclophosphamide: A case report and review of the literature. Rheumatol Int 2015;35:773-6. doi: 10.1007/s00296-014-3126-8.
- 11. Lodhi A, Hawkes C, Quinn R, Larche M. Ophthalmoplegia An unusual presentation of GCA. Conference Abstract. J Rheumatol 2016;43:1241. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.160272. [Abstract]
- 12. Lunagariya A, Rupareliya C, Bollu PC, Mahuwala Z. Temporal arteritis presenting as an isolated bilateral abducens nerve palsy: A rare case of a 65-year-old male. Cureus 2018;10:e2667. doi: 10.7759/cureus.2667.
- 13. Onac I, Hajela V. 33. A case of ocular ischaemic syndrome caused by severe giant cell arteritis. Rheumatol Adv Pract 2019;3(Suppl 1):rkz028.002. doi: 10.1093/rap/rkz028.002.
- 14. Codeiro A, Barata Silvério T, Martins Silva E, Grunho M. Abducens neuropathy in giant cell arteritis: You find what you seek! Conference Abstract. European Journal of Neurology. 2021;28(Suppl 1):459. doi:10.1111/ene.14975. [Abstract]
- 15. Margolin E, Jeeva-Patel T. Sixth nerve palsy and myocarditis as the only presenting manifestation of giant cell arteritis. J Neuroophthalmol 2021;41:e335-6. doi: 10.1097/WNO.0000000001054.
- Willett K, Sreih A, Rhee R, Lee V, Kwong B, Tamhankar MA. Giant cell arteritis associated with multiple cranial neuropathies. J Clin Rheumatol 2021;27:S769-70. doi: 10.1097/RHU.000000000001497.
- Jalaledin DS, Ross C, Makhzoum JP. Rare ischemic complications of giant cell arteritis: Case series and literature review. Am J Case Rep 2022;23:e937565. doi: 10.12659/AJCR.937565.
- Mann H, Desai T. A rare case of giant cell arteritis presenting with transient polycranial neuropathies. Neurology 2022;98(18 Suppl):P6. doi: 10.1212/WNL.98.18_supplement.625. [Abstract]